

Structural Breaks in Inflation Dynamics within the European Monetary Union

Thomas Windberger, Achim Zeileis

Working Papers in Economics and Statistics

2011-12



University of Innsbruck http://eeecon.uibk.ac.at/

University of Innsbruck Working Papers in Economics and Statistics

The series is jointly edited and published by

Department of Economics

Department of Public Finance

Department of Statistics

Contact Address: University of Innsbruck Department of Public Finance Universitaetsstrasse 15 A-6020 Innsbruck Austria Tel: + 43 512 507 7171 Fax: + 43 512 507 2970 e-mail: eeecon@uibk.ac.at

The most recent version of all working papers can be downloaded at http://eeecon.uibk.ac.at/wopec/

For a list of recent papers see the backpages of this paper.

Structural Breaks in Inflation Dynamics within the European Monetary Union

Thomas Windberger Universität Innsbruck Achim Zeileis Universität Innsbruck

Abstract

To assess the effects of the EMU on inflation rate dynamics of its member states, the inflation rate series for 21 European countries are investigated for structural changes. To capture changes in mean, variance, and skewness of inflation rates, a generalized logistic model is adopted and complemented with structural break tests and breakpoint estimation techniques. These reveal considerable differences in the patterns of inflation dynamics and the structural changes therein. Overall, there is a convergence towards a lower mean inflation rate with reduced skewness, but it is accompanied by an increase in variance.

Keywords: inflation rate, structural break, EMU, generalized logistic distribution.

1. Introduction

Since the beginning of the European Union (EU), the topic of a common currency was a controversial issue. Although the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU) is now a fact, the discussion about the economic effects of the euro is far from being settled. The controversial topics range from the question of whether or not the eurozone is indeed an optimal currency area (as developed in Mundell 1961), all the way to the very survival of the euro in light of the budgetary problems of some of its member states. The effects of monetary unions on a number of macroeconomic indicators, with inflation being of increased importance, is at the center of an ongoing debate. This concerns the issue of short-run and steady-state inflation uncertainty – in relation to inflation expectations – as dealt with in Caporale and Kontonikas (2009), or the degree of similarity of short-run dynamic properties of the inflation rates in EU countries, which is the topic of the investigation by Palomba, Sarno, and Zazzaro (2009). Throughout the literature, there is still a considerable degree of uncertainty as to what extent the introduction of the euro, or monetary unions in general, affects the inflation rate.

As the way towards the EMU essentially consisted of three stages (I: 1990–1994, a phase of liberalization; II: 1995–1998, a phase of convergence; III: 1999–2001, a transition period ending with the replacement of the national currencies by the euro), it seems natural to ask whether this transition was accompanied by structural changes in the inflation dynamics in the different European countries. Furthermore, a possible fourth stage is the continuing integration of new member states, mostly former communist countries. Typically, changes in the mean level of the inflation rates attract the widest interest, but there are also reasons for investigating changes in higher moments, such as variance and skewness. Emerson, Gros, Italianer, Pisani-Ferry, and Reichenbach (1992) emphasize that a high inflation rate is usually

also more variable and uncertain and thus causes more relative price variability, leading to a less efficient price mechanism. Furthermore, Jarociński (2010) finds a positive correlation between the level and the standard deviation of inflation.

Therefore, a thorough investigation of the evolution of the inflation dynamics within a country over time requires assessment not only of the mean level, but also of higher moments. Hence, we contribute to this field of research by developing a new method for testing for structural breaks based on a generalized logistic (GL) distribution. This provides flexible modeling of means, variances, and skewness, while also allowing for fat tails. Structural breaks are tested using score-based tests (Andrews 1993; Zeileis 2005) and breakpoints are estimated by extending the least squares approach of Bai and Perron (2003) to (quasi-)maximum likelihood estimation of GL distributions (similar in spirit to the Gaussian model employed by Zeileis, Shah, and Patnaik 2010).

The empirical results show that there are different patterns and timings of structural breaks in the inflation dynamics of different groups of countries. Inflation rates in the core euro area did not change significantly after completion of the first convergence stage, yielding low mean and variance. The Southern euro area member states (and Ireland), on the other hand, managed to decrease the mean level of inflation in the mid-1990s, but at the price of higher volatility. Finally, the Eastern European countries reduced both mean and variance on their way towards joining the EMU. Generally, the inflation rates converged from very different distributions at the beginning of the sample to rather similar patterns at the end of the 2000s. Skewness also plays an important role in this convergence: Some Southern and Eastern countries have clear breaks from right-skewed to roughly symmetric inflation rates, signaling a reduction of size and frequency of outlying high inflation rates.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 briefly presents the data, Section 3 introduces the model and the estimation techniques used, Section 4 discusses the empirical results, and Section 5 concludes.

2. Data

All empirical analyses are based on seasonally adjusted inflation rates (in percent) for 21 countries (Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom) in the time period from the early or mid-1990s to the end of 2010. The original data source are seasonally unadjusted HICP series provided by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (2010) from January 1990 (if available) to December 2010, that were transformed to inflation rates using log-returns and subsequent seasonal adjustment via X-12-ARIMA (Findley, Monsell, Bell, Otto, and Chen 1998).

The countries in this sample can be divided into three different groups: (1) euro countries – Austria, Belgium, Estonia, France, Finland, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain; (2) EU members not participating in the ERM II – Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, United Kingdom, Sweden; (3) Denmark which stands on its own as a member of the EU and the ERM II, but not yet of the EMU. Latvia, Lithuania, Bulgaria, Romania are excluded due to data scarcity. Cyprus, and Malta are not included since they are very small economies.

Seasonal adjustment is necessary due to the harmonization of the treatment of sales prices¹ in the HICP, resulting in changes of the seasonal inflation patterns over time within several countries and also between the countries. See Lünnemann and Mathä (2009) for a detailed discussion.

3. Model

The goal of the modeling strategy, that is subsequently introduced, is the following: detection of structural changes in the distributional properties of the inflation rates over time, e.g., as potentially caused by interventions. However, unlike many standard least squares approaches (such as Bai and Perron 2003), not only changes in the mean level should be addressed, but also changes in variance and potential skewness.

Hence, a likelihood-based model is adopted that can also incorporate stylized facts for return data, such as heavy tails and skewness. Here, the seasonally adjusted returns y_i (i = 1, ..., n) are assumed to come from a generalized logistic (GL) distribution (see Johnson, Kotz, and Balakrishnan 1995) with three-dimensional parameter $\phi_i = (\theta_i, \sigma_i, \delta_i)^{\top}$ for location θ_i , scale σ_i , and shape δ_i at time *i*. Structural change techniques are then employed to check whether the parameter vector ϕ_i remains constant over time and, if that is not the case, when and how the parameters change. This framework allows to trace breaks in the evolution of mean, variance, and skewness of the inflation series which may possibly be linked to underlying regime changes, e.g., in monetary policy.

For estimation of the parameters, a (quasi-)maximum likelihood framework is adopted and the observations are assumed to be (approximately) independent, i.e., potential autocorrelation is treated as a nuisance parameter and not explicitly incorporated in the model. The motivation for this is to focus on the shifts in mean, variance, and skewness – and it turns out to be a useful model for the data under investigation because only negligible amounts of residual autocorrelation remain after incorporation of the structural breaks. If the focus of the analysis were the dynamics in the autocorrelation, higher frequency data would be required, e.g., in order to apply techniques such as those expounded by Andreou and Ghysels (2002).²

In the following, details are provided about (1) the GL distribution and its properties, (2) how it can be estimated (under parameter stability), (3) how parameter stability can be assessed, and (4) how breakpoints can be estimated in the presence of parameter instability.

3.1. Generalized logistic distribution

The logistic distribution is often used in econometrics in the context of income and growth models due to its fatter tails compared to the normal distribution – it has also been applied to the modeling of expected inflation rates by Batchelor and Orr (1988). Its generalization – which additionally allows for asymmetries – has been applied to analyze extreme risks in the context of stock markets (e.g., by Tolikas, Koulakiotis, and Brown 2007) but has not yet been applied – to the best of our knowledge – to inflation rates. However, it will prove to be a rather simple model that fits HICP inflation rates quite well.

¹Inclusion of sales prices in the HICP was demanded by a Commission regulation (see European Commission 2000, for details).

 $^{^{2}}$ For example, it would be conceivable to obtain high-frequency data for certain years from the Billion Prices Project of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2011); however, this is not pursued here.

The GL distribution employed here corresponds to the type 1 GL distribution from Johnson $et \ al. \ (1995)$, using the parametrization and inference as in Shao (2002). It has the log-density function

$$\ell(y \mid \theta, \sigma, \delta) = \log(\delta) - \log(\sigma) - \frac{y - \theta}{\sigma} - (\delta + 1) \cdot \log\left(1 + \exp\left\{-\frac{y - \theta}{\sigma}\right\}\right)$$
(1)

with location θ , scale $\sigma > 0$, and shape $\delta > 0$. For $\delta = 1$ the distribution simplifies to the logistic distribution, for $\delta < 1$ or > 1 it is left- or right-skewed, respectively. The corresponding first three moments are

$$E(y) = \theta + \sigma(\gamma(\delta) - \gamma(1))$$
(2)

$$Var(y) = \sigma^2(\gamma'(\delta) + \gamma'(1))$$
(3)

$$\operatorname{Skew}(y) = \frac{\gamma''(\delta) - \gamma''(1)}{(\gamma'(\delta) + \gamma'(1))^{3/2}}$$
(4)

where $\gamma(\cdot)$, $\gamma'(\cdot)$, and $\gamma''(\cdot)$ are the digamma function and its first and second derivative, respectively.

The corresponding score function, i.e., the derivative of the log-density with respect to the parameter vector, is given by

$$s(y \mid \phi) = \frac{\partial \ell(y \mid \phi)}{\partial \phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{(\delta+1)\tilde{y}}{\sigma(1+\tilde{y})} \\ \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{(\delta+1)\tilde{y}}{\sigma(1+\tilde{y})} \right\} \cdot \frac{y-\theta}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\delta} - \log(1+\tilde{y}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

where $\phi = (\theta, \sigma, \delta)^{\top}$ and $\tilde{y} = \exp\{-(y - \theta)/\sigma\}$.

3.2. Estimation

Under the assumption that $y_i \sim GL(\theta, \sigma, \delta)$ for i = 1, ..., n independently – i.e., are independent realizations from a GL distribution with parameter vector $\phi = (\theta, \sigma, \delta)^{\top}$ – the parameters can be estimated as usual by maximum likelihood (ML): $\hat{\phi} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\phi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i | \phi)$. The corresponding first order condition is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} s(y_i | \hat{\phi}) = 0$.

To guard the inference against potential misspecification, e.g., autocorrelation or misspecification of higher moments of the distribution, one can treat $\hat{\phi}$ as the quasi-maximum-likelihood (QML) estimator and adjust the inference by using heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent (HAC) covariance matrix estimators (see e.g., Andrews 1991).

If the parameters are potentially varying over time – $y_i \sim GL(\theta_i, \sigma_i, \delta_i)$ – then ϕ is the (Q)ML estimator under the null hypothesis of parameter stability

$$H_0: \phi_i = \phi_0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$
 (6)

which should be tested against the alternative H_1 that at least one of the parameters changes over time.

3.3. Test for structural change

The null hypothesis of parameter stability (6) can be assessed using the empirical scores $s(y_i|\hat{\phi})$ as measures of model deviation (Zeileis and Hornik 2007) from the model fit under H_0 . Systematic deviations over time from the full sample estimates $\hat{\phi}$ can then be captured by cumulative sums of the empirical scores in an empirical fluctuation process efp(t):

$$efp(t) = \hat{V}^{-1/2} n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} s(y_i \mid \hat{\phi}) \quad (0 \le t \le 1),$$
(7)

where \hat{V} is some consistent estimator of the variance of the scores. Below, we use the outer product of gradients $s(y_i|\hat{\phi})$ to estimate \hat{V} . Alternatively, HAC estimators could be used leading to the same qualitative results for the data under investigation.

As usual in structural change analysis, a functional central limit theorem holds for $efp(\cdot)$, which converges to a 3-dimensional Brownian bridge: $efp(\cdot) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} W^0(\cdot)$. Based on this, various types of test statistics can be computed (see Zeileis 2005 for details). In the following, the sup*LM* test of Andrews (1993) is employed, which performs particularly well for single shift alternatives and if several of the three distribution parameters change simultaneously. The test statistic with 10% trimming is given by

$$\sup_{t \in [0.1, 0.9]} \frac{\|efp(t)\|_2^2}{t(1-t)}$$

and the appropriate p values can be computed from the corresponding limiting distribution – with efp(t) replaced by $W^0(t)$.

3.4. Breakpoint estimation

If the null hypothesis of parameter stability (6) is rejected, a natural strategy is to assume that there are B breakpoints with stable parameters within each of the resulting segments. Bai and Perron (2003) have established a rigorous inference framework in this situation for least squares estimation which has been extended by Zeileis *et al.* (2010) to (Q)ML estimation. Here, we follow the same ideas and maximize the full segmented log-likelihood

$$\sum_{b=1}^{B+1} \sum_{i=\tau_b+1}^{\tau_b} \ell(y_i \mid \phi^{(b)})$$

for joint estimation of the breakpoints τ_1, \ldots, τ_B and the segment-specific GL parameters $\phi^{(b)}$ ($b = 1, \ldots, B+1$). Following the recommendations of Bai and Perron (2003), a modified Bayes information criterion (LWZ, proposed by Liu, Wu, and Zidek 1997) is employed selecting the number of breakpoints B.

3.5. An example: Slovenia

To illustrate the proposed strategy, the case of Slovenia is considered. After a period of relative instability following the independence from Yugoslavia, Slovenia was successful in realigning its economy and introduced a number of reforms leading to stable growth in recent



Figure 1: Inflation rate series for Slovenia with breakpoint estimate (Jul 2003), associated confidence interval, and fitted mean from generalized logistic distribution (left). Corresponding $\sup LM$ test (right) with sequence of LM statistics, critical value at 5% level (horizontal red line), and estimated breakpoint (vertical dashed line).



Figure 2: Histogram of observed monthly inflation rates in Slovenia for Feb 1996–Jul 2003 (left) and Feb 1996–Jul 2003 (right), along with fitted generalized logistic probability density function, associated moments, and χ^2 goodness-of-fit test.

years. The good economic performance made it possible for Slovenia to enter the ERM II in June 2004 and later on to introduce the euro in January 2007. The seasonally adjusted inflation series for Slovenia encompasses the time from Feb 1996 to Dec 2010 and is depicted in the left panel of Figure 1.

Assuming a single stable set of parameters as in (6) is not valid, since the sequence of LM statistics (see right panel of Figure 1) clearly exceeds its 5% critical value (red horizontal line), leading to a highly significant *p*-value < 0.001. If a quadratic spectral kernel

HAC estimator (Andrews 1991) would have been used to adjust (7), the resulting p-value would be somewhat larger with 0.0046 but still clearly significant.

As there is evidence for at least one break, the LWZ criterion is employed for B = 1, 2, ... breakpoints with a minimal segment size of two years per segment. It assumes its minimum for B = 1 associated with the breakpoint Jul 2003, depicted by a vertical dashed line in both panels of Figure 1. The resulting segmented fitted mean (horizontal blue lines in the left panel Figure 1) shows that before the break, Slovenia experienced very high inflation rates but was successful in reducing inflation to a much lower level afterwards.

This decrease in inflation is also conveyed by Figure 2 that shows the observed histograms of inflation rates before and after the breakpoint along with the fitted GL distribution. This highlights that along with the decrease in mean level, the variance increases somewhat and – probably more interestingly – the distribution changes from being right-skewed to slightly left-skewed. This confirms that very high inflation rates that occurred in several months before the breakpoint could be avoided afterwards. Furthermore, Figure 2 shows that the GL distribution fits the observed data very well, both before and after the breakpoint, with p values from χ^2 goodness-of-fit tests of 0.551 and 0.574, respectively.

The estimated breakpoint can be matched very well with the timing of financial reforms in Slovenia that severely decreased the annual growth in the M3 monetary aggregate. The success of these actions ensured the Slovenian participation in the ERM II only a year later and the introduction of the euro two years afterwards.

4. Results

To investigate the effects of the EMU on national inflation rates, the techniques expounded in Section 3 are employed to uncover changes in the dynamics of European inflation rates. The inflation dynamics within countries from the euro-zone (but also other European countries) is closely related with the question about the convergence of the national inflation rates of the EMU members towards some common mean. Many researchers provide evidence against convergence among European countries, e.g., Hofmann and Remsperger (2005) find considerable amounts of inflation differentials and Caporale and Kontonikas (2009) describe rather strong heterogeneity among 12 EMU countries, both in terms of average inflation and its degree of persistence.

To explore the question of convergence, we proceed in two steps: First, in Section 4.1, the estimation technique proposed in Section 3 is applied to each of the countries included in the data set (see Section 2). Second, Section 4.2 aggregates the results from each individual country to reveal different potential convergence patterns over time within three groups of countries: core-euro (Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Germany, Luxembourg, Netherlands), PIIGS (Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Greece, Spain), and the other countries (Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Hungary, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia, Sweden, UK).

Finally, Section 4.3 focuses on the question of changes in the inflation dynamics during the 2008/2009 financial crisis.

4.1. Inflation dynamics on a national level

As a first step towards the investigation of inflation convergence, the structural breaks in

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						
Netherlands Feb 1990–Dec 2010 0.172 0.043 -0.186 Mar 1979 $-$ Jan 199 Phase 1 convergence						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
Austria Feb 1990–Sep 1994 0.255 0.015 0.389 Jan 1995 $-$ Jan 1995 Oct 1994–Dec 2010 0.135 0.037 0.337 $-$ Jan 1995 $-$ Jan 1995 Finland Feb 1990–Apr 1993 0.328 0.062 1.059 Oct 1996 $-$ Jan 1995 May 1993–Dec 2010 0.131 0.046 0.266 $-$ Jan 1995 France Feb 1990–Mar 1992 0.257 0.056 0.214 Mar 1979 $-$ Jan 1995 Apr 1992–Dec 2010 0.140 0.028 0.055 $-$ Jan 1999 Jan 200 Mar 1997–Dec 2010 0.140 0.026 -0.337 Mar 1998 Jan 1999 Jan 200 Mar 1997–Dec 2010 0.279 0.067 -0.089 $-$ Jan 1999 Jan 200 Italy Feb 1990–May 1996 0.408 0.019 0.838 Mar 1979 $-$ Jan 1999 Jun 1996–Dec 2010 0.179 0.024 -0.657 $ -$ Jan 1995 Luxembourg Feb 1990–Jul 1992						
Oct 1994-Dec 2010 0.133 0.037 0.337 Finland Feb 1990-Apr 1993 0.328 0.062 1.059 Oct 1996 - Jan 199 May 1993-Dec 2010 0.131 0.046 0.266 - - Jan 199 France Feb 1990-Mar 1992 0.257 0.056 0.214 Mar 1979 - Jan 199 Apr 1992-Dec 2010 0.140 0.028 0.055 - - Jan 199 Phase 2 convergence - - - Jan 1999 Jan 200 Mar 1997-Dec 2010 0.279 0.067 -0.089 - - Jan 1999 Jan 200 Mar 1997-Dec 2010 0.279 0.067 -0.089 - Jan 1999 Jan 200 Italy Feb 1990-May 1996 0.408 0.019 0.838 Mar 1979 - Jan 199 Jun 1996-Dec 2010 0.179 0.024 -0.657 - - Jan 199 Luxembourg Feb 1995-Dec 1997 0.106 0.011 0.718 Mar 1979 - Jan 199						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
May 1995-Dec 2010 0.131 0.046 0.206 France Feb 1990-Mar 1992 0.257 0.056 0.214 Mar 1979 - Jan 199 Apr 1992-Dec 2010 0.140 0.028 0.055 - - Jan 199 Phase 2 convergence Empty Section Greece Feb 1995-Feb 1997 0.580 0.026 -0.337 Mar 1998 Jan 1999 Jan 200 Mar 1997-Dec 2010 0.279 0.067 -0.089 - - Jan 1999 Jan 200 Italy Feb 1990-May 1996 0.408 0.019 0.838 Mar 1979 - Jan 199 Jun 1996-Dec 2010 0.179 0.024 -0.657 - - Jan 199 Jan 199 Jan 1998-Dec 2010 0.205 0.150 -0.702 - Jan 199 Jan 199 Portugal Feb 1990-Jul 1992 0.850 0.072 1.140 Apr 1992 - Jan 199 Aug 1992-Mar 1995 0.408 0.024 1.139 Apr 1995 - Jan 199 Aug 1995-Dec 2010 0.200						
France Feb 1990-Mar 1992 0.237 0.050 0.214 Mar 1979 $-$ Jan 198 Apr 1992-Dec 2010 0.140 0.028 0.055 0.055 Phase 2 convergence Image: Convergence Image: Convergence Image: Convergence 0.026 -0.337 Mar 1998 Jan 1999 Jan 200 Mar 1997-Dec 2010 0.279 0.067 -0.089 0.019 0.838 Mar 1979 $-$ Jan 199 Jan 200 Italy Feb 1990-May 1996 0.408 0.019 0.838 Mar 1979 $-$ Jan 199 Jan 199 Jun 1996-Dec 2010 0.179 0.024 -0.657 -0.702 -0.702 Luxembourg Feb 1995-Dec 1997 0.106 0.011 0.718 Mar 1979 $-$ Jan 199 Jan 1998-Dec 2010 0.205 0.150 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.702 -0.7						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
Portugal Feb 1990–Jul 1992 0.850 0.072 1.140 Apr 1992 $-$ Jan 199 Aug 1992–Mar 1995 0.408 0.024 1.139 $-$ Jan 199 Apr 1995–Dec 2010 0.200 0.054 -0.582 $ -$						
Aug 1992-Mar 1995 0.408 0.024 1.139 Apr 1995-Dec 2010 0.200 0.054 -0.582						
Apr 1995-Dec 2010 $0.200 = 0.054 = -0.582$						
Financial crisis						
Ireland Feb 1995–Jun 2008 0.248 0.051 -0.041 Mar 1979 - Jan 199						
Jul 2008–Dec 2010 -0.125 0.048 0.466						
Spain Feb 1992–Aug 1994 0.398 0.013 1.062 Jun 1986 – Jan 199						
Sep 1994–Jul 2008 0.259 0.040 0.327						
Aug 2008–Dec 2010 0.085 0.084 –0.589						
Non-euro						
Sweden Feb 1990–Jan 1993 0.478 0.376 0.734 – – –						
Feb 1993–Dec 2010 0.150 0.044 -0.251						
UK Feb 1990–Jan 1992 0.547 0.088 1.139 – – –						
Feb 1992–Dec 2010 0.170 0.030 0.170						
Eastern countries						
Create Dam Eah 1005 Mar 1009 0 726 0 196 0 204						
UZECILIDED FED 1990-WAT 1998 U (3D U 18D U 304						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 – – – – Apr 1998–Dec 2010 0.197 0.087 0.363						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 -						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 -						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995-Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 -						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995-Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 -						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995-Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 -						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 $ -$ </td						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 $ -$ </td						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 $ -$ </td						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 $ -$ </td						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 $ -$ </td						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 $ -$ </td						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 $ -$ </td						
Czech Rep. Feb 1995–Mar 1998 0.730 0.180 0.304 $ -$ </td						

Table 1: Estimated breakpoints, fitted moments of generalized logistic distribution per segment, and ERM information for all countries under investigation.

the inflation dynamics within each country are investigated using the methods introduced in Section 3. Table 1 reports the estimated breakpoints along with the segment-specific moments (mean, variance, skewness) implied by the corresponding parameter estimates. Furthermore, entry dates for ERM/ERM II and the euro are included as additional information. For ease of interpretation, countries with similar patterns of change are grouped together and ordered alphabetically within each group. If there is only a single segment, the supLM test was non-significant at the 5% level. In significant cases, the number of breakpoints was selected via the LWZ criterion and subsequently all parameters (breakpoints and segmentspecific GL parameters) were estimated by ML. No HAC correction was employed as there was only negligible autocorrelation in the empirical scores after inclusion of the breakpoints (if any). In Table 1 the countries are grouped according to either a similar timing of the changes in inflation dynamics or according to economic similarities, as in the case of the former communist countries in Eastern Europe.

The first group that draws particular attention consists of the former DEM (Deutsche Mark) zone countries, with the exception of Austria and Luxembourg. All these countries had and still have a very low inflation rate, both in terms of mean and variance, and their inflation dynamics did not change significantly. This is plausible from an economic point of view since the European central bank (ECB) is modeled after the Deutsche Bundesbank and the ECB did not – until recently – diverge much from the monetary policy of the former. Thus, the EMU did have no effect on inflation dynamics since the policies in these countries were already much in line with Germany and hence the ECB.

Austria, Finland, and France adjusted to the requirements of the EMU during the first phase of convergence. In these countries, the result of the adjustment process was a lower inflation rate and an overall decrease in the variance.

The next group consists of three of the PIIGS countries and Luxembourg. Greece and Portugal adjusted to the ERM shortly before or after its introduction. Italy was successful in reducing its inflation rate, whereas Luxembourg experienced a strong increase in its formerly very low inflation rate, both in terms of mean and variance, also associated with a shift in skewness towards the left. Apart from Luxembourg, the countries that had a structural break during the second phase of convergence were also successful in reducing mean inflation rates. After the changes in this group, all countries have rather similar mean inflation rates, which are closer to the levels of the previous two groups.

The fourth subgroup consists of two of the PIIGS countries, namely Ireland and Spain. There is a break in both countries in the summer of 2008 that captures the effects of the financial crisis and the severe problems concerning the real estate situation. In both countries, this had a strong deflationary impact, with deflation in Ireland over an extended period of time. In Spain, which was affected by a housing bubble of roughly the same size as Ireland, the housing prices did not adjust so abruptly and so strongly.

The next group consists of two countries that, after a very short participation in the ERM, decided not to be part of it and hence also not to participate in the EMU: the United Kingdom and Sweden. Both show clear breaks in the early 1990s which can be traced back to economic crises: the currency crisis of the United Kingdom cumulating into the "Black Wednesday" in 1992 and the banking crisis in Sweden during the early 1990s.

The last group consists of the Eastern European countries: Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Poland, Slovakia, and Slovenia. In almost all of these countries, the mean and the variance

of their inflation rates declined in the late 1990s or early 2000s. Czech Republic, Estonia and Hungary experienced a break in 1997/1998 resulting from efforts to curb in inflation by decreasing the growth of the money supply. The timing of the break thus indicates the date when the the biggest transitionally shocks on the way towards free market economies have been overcome. In Poland, this transition took two more years. Slovakia and Slovenia are somewhat different: On the one hand, they started with lower mean inflation rates and on the other hand, their inflation dynamics both changed roughly one year prior to their entry into the ERM II.

Finally, the inflation rates for the whole euro area³ are considered and three different regimes are found: (1) until 1999 with both a low mean and variance, (2) the third and final stage of monetary integration, accompanied by a significant increase in the mean, (3) a period encompassing the overheating of the economy and its culmination in the financial crisis, showing a large increase in variance and a lower mean inflation.

4.2. Convergence on an aggregate level

Given the results above for the individual countries, a natural question is whether there is convergence on an aggregate level and, if so, which pattern it follows. Hence, we aggregate the results from Table 1 for the individual countries into three groups and at four time points to reflect the general dynamics of European inflation rates during the time period of interest. The groups have been selected based on prior expectations (i.e., not based on the breakpoints estimation results) as the "core" of the euro zone, the PIIGS countries, and the rest. Similarly, the time points have been chosen as to fall "between" times where transitions in one or more groups of countries could be expected: Jan 1994 during stage I on the way towards the EMU, Jan 1998 during stage II, Jan 2007 after transition into the EMU but before the financial crisis, and Jan 2010 after the crisis. The result of these considerations is presented in Table 2 which extracts the three moments for each country from Table 1 at the indicated time points and aggregates them within the group. Lower quartile, median, and upper quartile (25%, 50%, 75% percentiles) are provided to assess both changes in location and spread across countries.

For the core euro countries, there are only changes from stage I to stage II because after 1998 no breaks have been detected. The changes in this first phase did not affect mean inflation much, while variance increased slightly (in both quartiles) and skewness decreased somewhat (from slightly right- to slightly left-skewed). The heterogeneity (assessed by the interquartile range) declined for all three moments of the inflation rates. This reflects that the monetary policies of the corresponding countries were already closely connected prior to stage I and now even moved somewhat closer together.

For the PIIGS countries, changes occurred from 1994 to 1998 and then again during the crisis, before 2010. In the first phase, mean inflation was almost halved, accompanied by a doubling of variance and a change from clearly right-skewed to approximately symmetric. The latter reflects that the occurrence of shocks with high inflation rates have been successfully reduced. Moreover, the changes in the PIIGS countries between 2007 and 2010 lead to a further decrease in mean inflation and associated skewness which are both caused by several extremely low inflation rates during the time following the crisis.

 $^{^{3}}$ This is the euro area 17 countries series as provided by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (2010), starting in Jan 1996. It is calculated as a weighted average of the HICPs of now seventeen euro countries.

Moment	Quartile	1994	1998	2007	2010
Core euro					
Mean	Lower	0.126	0.133		
	Median	0.140	0.140		
	Upper	0.167	0.167		
Variance	Lower	0.022	0.039		
	Median	0.042	0.043		
	Upper	0.045	0.059		
Skewness	Lower	-0.104	-0.305		
	Median	0.055	-0.021		
	Upper	0.328	0.161		
PIIGS					
Mean	Lower	0.398	0.200		0.085
	Median	0.408	0.248		0.179
	Upper	0.408	0.259		0.200
Variance	Lower	0.019	0.040		0.048
	Median	0.024	0.051		0.054
	Upper	0.026	0.054		0.067
Skewness	Lower	-0.041	-0.582		-0.589
	Median	0.838	-0.089		-0.582
	Upper	1.062	-0.041		-0.089
Other					
Mean	Lower	0.170		0.170	
	Median	0.624		0.199	
	Upper	0.854		0.239	
Variance	Lower	0.044		0.044	
	Median	0.186		0.064	
	Upper	0.227		0.121	

Table 2: Aggregation of estimated moments from Table 1 across countries in Jan 1994, 1998, 2007, and 2010. Dots signal that the moments have not changed from the previous time point, i.e., that no breakpoint was estimated in the corresponding time period.

0.170

0.507

1.125

-0.251

0.149

0.363

Lower

Median

Upper

Skewness

The other countries do not exhibit any significant breakpoints during the mid-1990s, but many changes occur in the transition period from 1998 to 2007, encompassing both the completion of transformation from planned to free market economies and the intended entry into the EMU. During this time, the countries were successful in decreasing all three moments of their inflation distributions while simultaneously decreasing the heterogeneity (as captured by the corresponding interquartile ranges) across countries. Before the transition, all three moments are much closer to those of the other groups. In Jan 2007, mean inflation is in between the levels



Figure 3: Observed monthly inflation rates (gray) and estimated means from 12-month rolling GL fits (red) over time for all countries.

for the core euro and PIIGS countries, variance is still slightly higher, and skewness is not too far away from symmetry.

In context of the convergence issue, we find that up to 2007 the inflation dynamics of all three groups of countries become much more similar, both within and across groups. The interquartile ranges of the means decline and become tighter for all groups and there appears to be convergence towards some common mean inflation rate in the countries of our sample. Variances also move more closely together, corresponding to an increase for the core euro and PIIGS countries but a clear decrease for the others. Right-skewness (and thus large outlying inflation rates) has been reduced for all three groups of countries but to a different extent. Thus, while ending in similar inflation dynamics, the timing and patterns of changes in this convergence process are rather different across the three groups, depending on the different starting situations of the countries.

4.3. The influence of the financial crisis

The results from the previous sections convey that the financial crisis of 2008/2009 did not have a significant effect on the inflation dynamics in most of the European countries (except Ireland and Spain). This may be somewhat surprising and is not entirely correct. In fact, there is a rather clear effect, but as it is very short-lived and not persistent, it is not picked up by the structural break techniques.

More specifically, the mean inflation of the euro area from a GL fit in the year before the crisis (Aug 2007–Jul 2008) was 0.334 and after the crisis (Aug 2008–Jul 2009) it dropped to -0.049 before rising again in the following year (Aug 2009–Jul 2010): 0.145. One way to bring out this pattern in graphically is Figure 3. It depicts the inflation rates (in gray) and a 12-month

rolling mean (in red), calculated again as the first moment of a fitted GL distribution. The strong increase in mean inflation during the boom years of 2007/2008 accompanied by an equally strong deflation following the burst of the bubble is clearly visible for most countries. However, the level of mean inflation did not change persistently, as the inflation rates seem to have returned to their prior path, conveying the same message as the inflation series of the euro area.

As for the structural break methods adopted in the previous sections, this means that such rapid and non-persistent effects in the mean level are not picked up from low frequency data, like inflation rates. Instead, they may simply be attributed to increased variance.

Apart from bringing out more details about the short-term crisis effects, Figure 3 also provides an alternative view on the long-range convergence behavior of the inflation rates studied in the previous sections. Over the 1990s and early 2000s, there is a clear general trend towards some common – albeit broad – mean level. There is still some visible difference in the inflation rates, but the mean estimates are moving closer in a rather broad band. So contrary to Palomba *et al.* (2009), who find that the degree of similarity in short-run inflation dynamics is still weak, we find evidence of convergence within the countries in the sample.

5. Conclusion

To assess changes in the dynamics of the inflation rates of a number of European countries – both within and outside the EMU – a new method for estimating structural breaks in inflation rates is proposed. The method allows to test for changes in mean, variance, and skewness of inflation rate series by adopting a (quasi-)likelihood model based on the generalized logistic (GL) distribution. Thus, unlike normally distributed models, this approach can deal with fat tails and (potentially changing) skewness.

The empirical results suggest that a GL distribution is appropriate for modeling seasonally adjusted inflation rate series and is able to uncover important changes in all three moments. Towards the end of the 2000s, before the financial crisis, our results broadly confirm convergence hypotheses, since the first three moments from all countries in our sample move closer together. Especially the changes in skewness – caused by a reduction of size and frequency of outlying high inflation rates in some countries – might have gone unnoticed with traditional structural change techniques, leading to potentially misleading conclusions concerning the dynamics.

At the beginning of the sample, many PIIGS and Eastern countries did have very high inflation rates, while for the core euro countries, convergence was already achieved in the mid-1990s. The PIIGS countries lowered their inflation rates considerably in preparation to the adoption of the euro. The Eastern European countries lowered their high initial inflation level in the late 1990s or early 2000s, prior to the entry into the ERM II. They clearly benefited from the monetary discipline that is demanded for those countries who want to join the EMU.

Computational details

Our results were obtained using R 2.13.0 (R Development Core Team 2011) with the packages glogis 0.1-0, strucchange 1.4-4 (Zeileis, Leisch, Hornik, and Kleiber 2002), and fxregime 1.0-1 (Zeileis *et al.* 2010), all of which are available under the General Public License (GPL) from

the Comprehensive R Archive Network (http://CRAN.R-project.org/). Package strucchange provides various techniques for inference in structural-change settings which are complemented with additional maximum-likelihood-based methods by fxregime. Inference for the generalized logistic model (that can be combined with strucchange/fxregime) is implemented in the new package glogis that also contains the data used, both the raw monthly price indexes (as provided by Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development 2010) and the seasonally adjusted monthly inflation rates. The seasonal adjustment was performed in X-12-ARIMA 0.3 (U.S. Census Bureau 2009, see also Findley *et al.* 1998) through the interface provided by gretl 1.9.5 (Cottrell and Lucchetti 2011, see also Smith and Mixon 2006 for a review).

Replication scripts for all analyses are provided within the **glogis** package: An overview is provided in demo(package = "glogis") and the scripts can be launched easily, e.g., via demo("Austria", package = "glogis") etc.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Jesus Crespo Cuaresma for comments and discussions about an earlier version of the manuscript, and also to the participants of the workshop of the Research Platform "Empirical and Experimental Economics" for stimulating discussions, leading to substantial improvements of the paper.

References

- Andreou E, Ghysels E (2002). "Detecting Multiple Breaks in Financial Markets Volatility Dynamics." Journal of Applied Econometrics, 17(5), 579–600.
- Andrews DWK (1991). "Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimation." *Econometrica*, 59(3), 817–858.
- Andrews DWK (1993). "Tests for Parameter Instability and Structural Change with Unknown Change Point." *Econometrica*, **61**(4), 821–856.
- Bai J, Perron P (2003). "Computation and Analysis of Multiple Structural Change Models." Journal of Applied Econometrics, 18, 1–22.
- Batchelor RA, Orr AB (1988). "Inflation Expectations Revisited." *Economica*, **55**(219), 317–331.
- Caporale GM, Kontonikas A (2009). "The Euro and Inflation Uncertainty in the European Monetary Union." Journal of International Money and Finance, 28(6), 954–971.
- Cottrell A, Lucchetti R (2011). gret/ User's Guide Gnu Regression, Econometrics and Time-Series Library. Version 1.9.5, URL http://gretl.sourceforge.net/.
- Emerson M, Gros D, Italianer A, Pisani-Ferry J, Reichenbach H (1992). One Market, One Money, An Evaluation of the Potential Benefits and Costs of Forming an Economic and Monetary Union. Oxford University Press.

- European Commission (2000). "Commission Regulation (EC) No. 2602/2000." http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2000:300:0016: 0017:EN:PDF. Online, accessed 2011-2-4.
- Findley DF, Monsell BC, Bell WR, Otto MC, Chen BC (1998). "New Capabilities and Methods of the X-12-ARIMA Seasonal Adjustment Program." Journal of Business and Economic Statistics, 16, 127–177.
- Hofmann B, Remsperger H (2005). "Inflation Differentials among the Euro Area Countries: Potential Causes and Consequences." *Journal of Asian Economics*, **16**(3), 403–419.
- Jarociński M (2010). "Responses to Monetary Policy Shocks in the East and the West of Europe: A Comparison." Journal of Applied Econometrics, **25**(5), 833–868.
- Johnson NL, Kotz S, Balakrishnan N (1995). Continuous Univariate Distributions, Volume 2. 2nd edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Liu J, Wu S, Zidek J (1997). "On Segmented Multivariate Regression." *Statistica Sinica*, 7, 497–525.
- Lünnemann P, Mathä TY (2009). "Mean Reversion and Sales." Applied Economic Letters, **16**(12), 1271–1275.
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2011). "The Billion Prices Project." http://bpp.mit.edu/. Online, accessed 2011-1-26.
- Mundell RA (1961). "A Theory of Optimum Currency Areas." *American Economic Review*, **51**(4), 657–665.
- Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (2010). "OECD.Stat." http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/content/data/data-00285-en. Online, accessed 2011-03-09.
- Palomba G, Sarno E, Zazzaro A (2009). "Testing Similarities of Short-Run Inflation Dynamics among EU-25 Countries after the Euro." *Empirical Economics*, 37(2), 231–270.
- R Development Core Team (2011). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http: //www.R-project.org/.
- Shao Q (2002). "Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Generalised Logistic Distributions." Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods, **31**(10), 1687–1700.
- Smith RJ, Mixon JW (2006). "Teaching Undergraduate Econometrics with gretl." Journal of Applied Econometrics, 21(7), 1103–1107.
- Tolikas K, Koulakiotis A, Brown RA (2007). "Extreme Risk and Value-at-Risk in the German Stock Market." *The European Journal of Finance*, **13**(4), 373–395.
- US Census Bureau (2009). X-12-ARIMA Reference Manual, Version 0.3. Time Series Staff, Statistical Research Division, Washington, DC. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http://www.census.gov/srd/www/x12a/.

- Zeileis A (2005). "A Unified Approach to Structural Change Tests Based on ML Scores, F Statistics, and OLS Residuals." *Econometric Reviews*, **24**(4), 445–466.
- Zeileis A, Hornik K (2007). "Generalized M-Fluctuation Tests for Parameter Instability." Statistica Neerlandica, **61**(4), 488–508.
- Zeileis A, Leisch F, Hornik K, Kleiber C (2002). "strucchange: An R Package for Testing for Structural Change in Linear Regression Models." Journal of Statistical Software, 7(2), 1-38. URL http://www.jstatsoft.org/v07/i02/.
- Zeileis A, Shah A, Patnaik I (2010). "Testing, Monitoring, and Dating Structural Changes in Exchange Rate Regimes." Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 54(6), 1696–1706.

Affiliation:

Thomas Windberger, Achim Zeileis Department of Statistics Universität Innsbruck Universitätsstraße 15 A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria E-mail: Thomas.Windberger@student.uibk.ac.at, Achim.Zeileis@R-project.org Telephone: +43/12/507-7103 Fax: +43/512/507-2851 University of Innsbruck - Working Papers in Economics and Statistics Recent Papers can be accessed on the following webpage:

http://eeecon.uibk.ac.at/wopec/

- 2011-12 **Thomas Windberger, Achim Zeileis:** Structural breaks in inflation dynamics within the European Monetary Union
- 2011-11 Loukas Balafoutas, Adrian Beck, Rudolf Kerschbamer, Matthias Sutter: What drives taxi drivers? A field experiment on fraud in a market for credence goods
- 2011-10 **Stefan Borsky, Paul A. Raschky:** A spatial econometric analysis of compliance with an international environmental agreement on open access resources
- 2011-09 Edgar C. Merkle, Achim Zeileis: Generalized measurement invariance tests with application to factor analysis
- 2011-08 Michael Kirchler, Jürgen Huber, Thomas Stöckl: That she bursts reducing confusion reduces bubbles modified version forthcoming in American Economic Review
- 2011-07 Ernst Fehr, Daniela Rützler, Matthias Sutter: The development of egalitarianism, altruism, spite and parochialism in childhood and adolescence
- 2011-06 Octavio Fernández-Amador, Martin Gächter, Martin Larch, Georg Peter: Monetary policy and its impact on stock market liquidity: Evidence from the euro zone
- 2011-05 Martin Gächter, Peter Schwazer, Engelbert Theurl: Entry and exit of physicians in a two-tiered public/private health care system
- 2011-04 Loukas Balafoutas, Rudolf Kerschbamer, Matthias Sutter: Distributional preferences and competitive behavior forthcoming in Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization
- 2011-03 Francesco Feri, Alessandro Innocenti, Paolo Pin: Psychological pressure in competitive environments: Evidence from a randomized natural experiment: Comment
- 2011-02 Christian Kleiber, Achim Zeileis: Reproducible Econometric Simulations
- 2011-01 Carolin Strobl, Julia Kopf, Achim Zeileis: A new method for detecting differential item functioning in the Rasch model

- 2010-29 Matthias Sutter, Martin G. Kocher, Daniela Rützler and Stefan T. Trautmann: Impatience and uncertainty: Experimental decisions predict adolescents' field behavior
- 2010-28 Peter Martinsson, Katarina Nordblom, Daniela Rützler and Matthias Sutter: Social preferences during childhood and the role of gender and age - An experiment in Austria and Sweden *Revised version forthcoming in* <u>Economics Letters</u>
- 2010-27 Francesco Feri and Anita Gantner: Baragining or searching for a better price? - An experimental study. *Revised version accepted for publication in* <u>Games and Economic Behavior</u>
- 2010-26 Loukas Balafoutas, Martin G. Kocher, Louis Putterman and Matthias Sutter: Equality, equity and incentives: An experiment
- 2010-25 Jesús Crespo-Cuaresma and Octavio Fernández Amador: Business cycle convergence in EMU: A second look at the second moment
- 2010-24 Lorenz Goette, David Huffman, Stephan Meier and Matthias Sutter: Group membership, competition and altruistic versus antisocial punishment: Evidence from randomly assigned army groups
- 2010-23 Martin Gächter and Engelbert Theurl: Health status convergence at the local level: Empirical evidence from Austria (revised Version March 2011)
- 2010-22 Jesús Crespo-Cuaresma and Octavio Fernández Amador: Buiness cycle convergence in the EMU: A first look at the second moment
- 2010-21 Octavio Fernández-Amador, Josef Baumgartner and Jesús Crespo-Cuaresma: Milking the prices: The role of asymmetries in the price transmission mechanism for milk products in Austria
- 2010-20 Fredrik Carlsson, Haoran He, Peter Martinsson, Ping Qin and Matthias Sutter: Household decision making in rural China: Using experiments to estimate the influences of spouses
- 2010-19 Wolfgang Brunauer, Stefan Lang and Nikolaus Umlauf: Modeling house prices using multilevel structured additive regression
- 2010-18 Martin Gächter and Engelbert Theurl: Socioeconomic environment and mortality: A two-level decomposition by sex and cause of death
- 2010-17 Boris Maciejovsky, Matthias Sutter, David V. Budescu and Patrick Bernau: Teams make you smarter: Learning and knowledge transfer in auctions and markets by teams and individuals

- 2010-16 Martin Gächter, Peter Schwazer and Engelbert Theurl: Stronger sex but earlier death: A multi-level socioeconomic analysis of gender differences in mortality in Austria
- 2010-15 Simon Czermak, Francesco Feri, Daniela Rützler and Matthias Sutter: Strategic sophistication of adolescents - Evidence from experimental normalform games
- 2010-14 Matthias Sutter and Daniela Rützler: Gender differences in competition emerge early in live
- 2010-13 Matthias Sutter, Francesco Feri, Martin G. Kocher, Peter Martinsson, Katarina Nordblom and Daniela Rützler: Social preferences in childhood and adolescence - A large-scale experiment
- 2010-12 Loukas Balafoutas and Matthias Sutter: Gender, competition and the efficiency of policy interventions
- 2010-11 Alexander Strasak, Nikolaus Umlauf, Ruth Pfeifer and Stefan Lang: Comparing penalized splines and fractional polynomials for flexible modeling of the effects of continuous predictor variables
- 2010-10 Wolfgang A. Brunauer, Sebastian Keiler and Stefan Lang: Trading strategies and trading profits in experimental asset markets with cumulative information
- 2010-09 Thomas Stöckl and Michael Kirchler: Trading strategies and trading profits in experimental asset markets with cumulative information
- 2010-08 Martin G. Kocher, Marc V. Lenz and Matthias Sutter: Psychological pressure in competitive environments: Evidence from a randomized natural experiment: Comment
- 2010-07 Michael Hanke and Michael Kirchler: Football Championships and Jersey sponsors' stock prices: An empirical investigation
- 2010-06 Adrian Beck, Rudolf Kerschbamer, Jianying Qiu and Matthias Sutter: Guilt from promise-breaking and trust in markets for expert services -Theory and experiment
- 2010-05 Martin Gächter, David A. Savage and Benno Torgler: Retaining the thin blue line: What shapes workers' intentions not to quit the current work environment
- 2010-04 Martin Gächter, David A. Savage and Benno Torgler: The relationship between stress, strain and social capital

- 2010-03 Paul A. Raschky, Reimund Schwarze, Manijeh Schwindt and Ferdinand Zahn: Uncertainty of governmental relief and the crowding out of insurance
- 2010-02 Matthias Sutter, Simon Czermak and Francesco Feri: Strategic sophistication of individuals and teams in experimental normal-form games
- 2010-01 **Stefan Lang and Nikolaus Umlauf:** Applications of multilevel structured additive regression models to insurance data

University of Innsbruck

Working Papers in Economics and Statistics

2011 - 12

Thomas Windberger, Achim Zeileis

Structural Breaks in Inflation Dynamics within the European Monetary Union

Abstract

To assess the effects of the EMU on inflation rate dynamics of its member states, the inflation rate series for 21 European countries are investigated for structural changes. To capture changes in mean, variance, and skewness of inflation rates, a generalized logistic model is adopted and complemented with structural break tests and breakpoint estimation techniques. These reveal considerable differences in the patterns of inflation dynamics and the structural changes therein. Overall, there is a convergence towards a lower mean inflation rate with reduced skewness, but it is accompanied by an increase in variance.

ISSN 1993-4378 (Print) ISSN 1993-6885 (Online)