



### BAMLSS

# Bayesian Additive Models for Location Scale and Shape (and Beyond)

Nikolaus Umlauf

http://eeecon.uibk.ac.at/~umlauf/

### Overview

- Introduction
- Distributional regression
- Lego toolbox
- R package **bamiss**
- Example

.

A **not** complete list of software packages dealing with Bayesian regression models:

- bayesm, univariate and multivariate, SUR, multinomial logit, ...
- **bayesSurv**, survival regression, ...
- MCMCpack, linear regression, logit, ordinal probit, probit, Poisson regression, ...
- MCMCgImm, generalized linear mixed models (GLMM).
- **spikeSlabGAM**, Bayesian variable selection, model choice, in generalized additive mixed models (GAMM), ...
- gammSlice, generalized additive mixed models (GAMM).
- BayesX, structured additive distributional regression (STAR), ...
- INLA, generalized additive mixed models (GAMM), ...
- WinBUGS, JAGS, STAN, general purpose sampling engines.

**Most** Bayesian software packages provide support for the estimation of so called mixed models (random effects), i.e., incorporating linear predictors of the form

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma},$$

where  $\mathbf{X}\beta$  are fixed effects, e.g.,  $p(\beta) \propto \text{const}$ , and  $\mathbf{U}\gamma$  are the random effects,  $\gamma \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}(\tau^2))$ .

**Few** Bayesian software packages provide support for the estimation of semiparametric regression models with structured additive predictor

$$\eta = f_1(\mathbf{x}) + \ldots + f_J(\mathbf{x}),$$

where  $f_j$  are possibly smooth functions and **x** represents a generic vector of all nonlinear modeled covariates.



Nonlinear effects of continuous covariates

Spatially correlated effects f(x) = f(s)







Random intercepts f(x) = f(id)



#### **STAR Models**

The vector of function evaluations  $\mathbf{f}_j = (f_j(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, f_j(\mathbf{x}_n))$  of the  $i = 1, \dots, n$  observations is given by

$$\mathbf{f}_j = f_j(\mathbf{X}_j, \boldsymbol{\beta}_j) = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_j,$$

with  $\mathbf{X}_j$  as the design matrix and  $\beta_j$  are unknown regression coefficients. Form of  $\mathbf{X}_j$  only depends on the functional type chosen, e.g., using B-splines:



х

Basis function approach, penalized least squares:

$$\mathsf{PLS}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = ||\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\eta}||^2 + \lambda_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}_1' \mathbf{K}_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \ldots + \lambda_J \boldsymbol{\beta}_J' \mathbf{K}_J \boldsymbol{\beta}_J.$$

A general Prior for eta in the corresponding Bayesian approach

$$p(oldsymbol{eta}_j) \propto \left(rac{1}{ au_j^2}
ight)^{rk(\mathbf{K}_j)/2} \exp\left(-rac{1}{2 au_j^2}oldsymbol{eta}_j'\mathbf{K}_joldsymbol{eta}_j
ight),$$

 $\tau_i^2$  variance parameter, governs the smoothness of  $f_j$ .

Structure of  $K_j$  also depends on the type of covariates and on assumptions about smoothness of  $f_j$ .

The variance parameter  $\tau_j^2$  is equivalent to the inverse smoothing parameter in a frequentist approach.

However, any basis function representation can be transformed into a mixed model representation

$$\mathbf{f}_{j} = \mathbf{X}_{j}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j} = \mathbf{X}_{j}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \tilde{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) = \dot{\mathbf{X}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \dot{\mathbf{U}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}},$$

with fixed effects  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  and random effects  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \tau^2 \mathbf{I})$ .

So the number of software packages that can estimate semiparametric models is actually quite large.

The number of different models that can be fit with these engines is even larger.

The basic ideas are:

- Design a framework that makes it (a) easy to use different estimation engines and (b) fit models with a **structured additive predictor**.
- Therefore, we need to employ symbolic descriptions that do **not** restrict to any specific type of model and term structure.
- I.e., the aim is to use specialized/optimized engines to apply Bayesian structured additive distributional regression a.k.a. Bayesian additive models for location scale and shape (BAMLSS) and beyond.
- The approach should have **maximum flexibility/extendability**, also concerning functional types.

Within this framework any parameter of a population distribution may be modeled by explanatory variables

$$\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{D}\left(h_1(\theta_1) = \eta_1, \ h_2(\theta_2) = \eta_2, \ldots, \ h_K(\theta_K) = \eta_K\right),$$

where  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$  denotes any parametric distribution available for the response variable.

Each parameter is linked to a structured additive predictor

$$h_k(\theta_k) = \eta_k = \eta_k(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\beta}_k) = f_{1k}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1k}) + \ldots + f_{J_kk}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\beta}_{J_kk}),$$

 $j = 1, ..., J_k$  and k = 1, ..., K and  $h_k(\cdot)$  are known monotonic link functions.

The observations  $y_i$  are assumed to be independent and conditional on a pre-specified parametric density  $f(y_i; \theta_{i1}, \ldots, \theta_{iK})$ .

Example: Head acceleration in a simulated motorcycle accident

 $\texttt{accel} \sim \textit{N}(\mu, \, \sigma^2).$ 





Example: Head acceleration in a simulated motorcycle accident

$$\texttt{accel} \sim \textit{N}(\mu = \textit{f}(\texttt{times}), \textit{log}(\sigma^2) = eta_0).$$



times

Example: Head acceleration in a simulated motorcycle accident

$$\texttt{accel} \sim \textit{N}(\mu = \textit{f}(\texttt{times}), \textit{log}(\sigma^2) = \textit{f}(\texttt{times})).$$



times

Example: Head acceleration in a simulated motorcycle accident

$$\texttt{accel} \sim \textit{N}(\mu = \textit{f}(\texttt{times}), \textit{log}(\sigma^2) = \textit{f}(\texttt{times})).$$



times

#### A conceptional Lego toolbox Families

Families specify the details of models.

Required details may differ from engine to engine, however, to fully "understand" a distribution we need the following:

- The density function.
- The distribution function.
- The quantile function.
- Link function(s).
- A random number generator.
- First and second derivatives of the log-likelihood (expectations).

So implementing a "new" distribution means creating a new family (object), including the minimum specifications required by the estimating engine(s).

#### A conceptional Lego toolbox Priors

For simple linear effects  $\mathbf{X}_{jk}\beta_{jk}$ , a common choice is  $p(\beta_{jk}) \propto const$ . For the smooth terms, a general setup is obtained by

$$p(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{jk}) \propto \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{jk}^2}\right)^{rk(\mathbf{K}_{jk})/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_{jk}^2}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{jk}^{\top}\mathbf{K}_{jk}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{jk}\right),$$

where  $\mathbf{K}_{jk}$  is a quadratic penalty matrix that shrinks parameters towards zero or penalizes too abrupt jumps between neighboring parameters, e.g., for random effects  $\mathbf{K}_{jk} = \mathbf{I}$ .

Weakly informative inverse Gamma hyperprior

$$p(\tau_{jk}^2) = rac{b_{jk}^{a_{jk}}}{\Gamma(a_{jk})} (\tau_{jk}^2)^{-(a_{jk}+1)} \exp(-b_{jk}/\tau_{jk}^2).$$

with  $a_{jk} = b_{jk} = 0.001$ ,

The main building block of regression model algorithms is the probability density function  $f(\mathbf{y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\theta}_K)$ .

Estimation typically requires to evaluate

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f(y_i; \theta_{i1} = h_1^{-1}(\eta_{i1}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}_1)), \dots$$
$$\dots, \theta_{iK} = h_K^{-1}(\eta_{iK}(\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}_K))),$$
with  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}_1^\top, \dots, \boldsymbol{\beta}_K^\top)^\top$  and  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_K).$ The log-posterior

$$\log p(artheta; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) \propto \ell(eta; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} \left\{ \log p_{jk}(artheta_{jk}) 
ight\},$$

where, e.g.,  $\vartheta_{jk} = (\beta_{jk}^{\top}, (\tau_{jk}^2)^{\top})^{\top}$  (frequentist, penalized log-likelihood).

Gradient based algorithms require the first derivative or score vector. Within the Bayesian formulation the resulting score vector is

$$\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{\partial \log p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} \left\{ \frac{\partial \log p_{jk}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{jk})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \right\},$$

The first order partial derivatives of the log-likelihood w.r.t.  $\beta$  can be further fragmented

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}} = \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}} = \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}},$$
  
since  $\theta_{ik} = h_{k}^{-1}(\eta_{ik}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k})).$ 

Applying, e.g., Newton-Raphson requires the Hessian, entries  $H_{ks}(\beta)$ 

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}_s^{\top}} = \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_s}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}_s}\right)^{\top} \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_k \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_s^{\top}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_k}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}_k} \underbrace{+ \frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_k} \frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}_k}{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_k}}_{\text{if } k = s},$$

$$k = 1, \dots, K \text{ and } s = 1, \dots, K. \text{ Again, chain rule gives}$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \eta_k \partial \eta_s^{\top}} = \frac{\partial \ell(\beta; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \theta_k} \frac{\partial^2 \theta_k}{\partial \eta_k \partial \eta_s^{\top}} + \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\beta; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \theta_k \partial \theta_s^{\top}} \frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial \eta_k} \frac{\partial \theta_s}{\partial \eta_s}$$

Conventional updating scheme

$$oldsymbol{eta}^{(t+1)} = U(oldsymbol{eta}^{(t)}) = oldsymbol{eta}^{(t)} - \mathbf{H}\left(oldsymbol{eta}^{(t)}
ight)^{-1} \mathbf{s}\left(oldsymbol{eta}^{(t)}
ight),$$

feasable, but computationally still a bit unhandy.

Fortunately, partitioned updating is possible

which yields

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}^{(t+1)} = \boldsymbol{U}_{k}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}^{(t)}|\cdot) = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}^{(t)} - \mathbf{H}_{kk}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}^{(t)}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{s}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}^{(t)}\right).$$

Can be further partitioned for each function within parameter block k.

Using a basis function approach, derive PM-estimates with iteratively reweighted least squares (IWLS)

$$eta_{jk}^{(t+1)} = (\mathbf{X}_{jk}^{ op} \mathbf{W}_{kk} \mathbf{X}_{jk} + \mathbf{G}_{jk})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{jk}^{ op} \mathbf{W}_{kk} (\mathbf{z}_k - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k,-j}^{(t)}),$$

with  $\mathbf{G}_{jk} = \tau_{jk}^{-2} \mathbf{K}_{jk}$  and working observations

$$\mathbf{z}_k = \boldsymbol{\eta}_k^{(t)} + \mathbf{W}_{kk}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_k^{(t)},$$

where  $\mathbf{W}_{kk} = -\text{diag}(\partial^2 \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_k \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_k^{\top})$  and  $\mathbf{u}_k = \partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_k$ .

Depending on the type of algorithm different weights are used, e.g.,  $\mathbf{W}_{kk} = \mathcal{E}\left(-\partial^2 \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})/\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_k \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_k^{\top}\right).$ 

MCMC simulation

- Random walk Metropolis, symmetric  $q(\beta_{ik}^{\star}|\beta_{ik}^{(t)})$ .
- Derivative based MCMC, second order Taylor series expansion centered at the last state  $p(\beta_{jk}^{\star}|\cdot)$  yields  $N(\mu_{jk}^{(t)}, \Sigma_{jk}^{(t)})$  proposal with precision matrix

$$\left( \mathbf{\Sigma}_{jk}^{(t)} 
ight)^{-1} = -\mathbf{H}_{kk} \left( eta_{jk}^{(t)} 
ight)$$

and mean

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{jk}^{(t)} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{jk}^{(t)} - \mathbf{H}_{kk} \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{jk}^{(t)}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{s} \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{jk}^{(t)}\right).$$

Metropolis-Hastings acceptance probability

$$\alpha\left(\beta_{jk}^{\star}|\beta_{jk}^{(t)}\right) = \min\left\{\frac{p(\beta_{jk}^{\star}|\cdot)q(\beta_{jk}^{(t)}|\beta_{jk}^{\star})}{p(\beta_{jk}^{(t)}|\cdot)q(\beta_{jk}^{\star}|\beta_{jk}^{(t)})}, 1\right\}.$$

• Again, using a basis function approach, simplified Metropolis-Hastings based on IWLS proposals:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{jk}^{(t)} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{jk}^{(t)} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{X}}_{jk}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}_{kk} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\mathsf{z}}_{k} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{k,-j}^{(t)} \right\},$$

and precision matrix

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{jk}^{(t)}\right)^{-1} = \mathbf{X}_{jk}^{\top} \mathbf{W}_{kk} \mathbf{X}_{jk} + \mathbf{G}_{jk},$$

resulting multivariate normal proposal

$$oldsymbol{eta}_{jk}^{\star} \sim N(oldsymbol{\mu}_{jk}^{(t)}, oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{jk}^{(t)}).$$

• Other sampling schemes, e.g., slice sampling, NUTS, t-walk, ... ?!

#### A conceptional Lego toolbox Summary

The following "lego bricks" are repeatedly used within BAMLSS candidate algorithms:

- The density function  $f(y; \theta_i = h_1^{-1}(\eta_1(\mathbf{x}, \beta_1)), \dots, \theta_K = h_K^{-1}(\eta_K(\mathbf{x}, \beta_K))),$
- link functions  $h_k(\cdot)$ ,
- the first order derivatives  $\frac{\partial \log p(\vartheta; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \beta_{\nu}}$  and  $\frac{\partial \eta_k}{\partial \beta_{\nu}}$ ,
- the second order derivatives  $\frac{\partial^2 \log p(\vartheta; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X})}{\partial \beta_k \partial \beta_s^{\top}}$ ,
- derivatives for log-priors  $\frac{\partial \log p_{jk}(\vartheta_{jk})}{\partial \vartheta_{jk}}$ .

#### A conceptional Lego toolbox Algorithm

A simple generic algorithm for BAMLSS models:

```
while (eps > \varepsilon \& t < maxit) {
for(k in 1:K) {
for(j in 1:J[k]) {
Compute \tilde{\eta} = \eta_k - f_{jk}.
Obtain new (\beta_{jk}^*, (\tau_{jk}^2)^*)^\top = U_{jk}(\mathbf{X}_{jk}, \mathbf{y}, \tilde{\eta}, \beta_{jk}^{[t]}, (\tau_{jk}^2)^{[t]}).
Update \eta_k.
}
}
t = t + 1
Compute new eps.
}
```

Functions  $U_{jk}(\cdot)$  could either return proposals from a MCMC sampler or updates from an optimizing algorithm.

The package is available at

```
https://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/BayesR/
```

In R, simply type

```
R> install.packages("bamlss",
+ repos = "http://R-Forge.R-project.org")
```

#### R package bamiss Building blocks



In principle, the setup does not restrict to any specific type of engine (Bayesian or frequentist).

Symbolic descriptions

Based on Wilkinson and Rogers (1973) a typical model description in R has the form

```
response \sim x1 + x2.
```

Using structured additive predictors we need generic descriptors for smooth/random terms, creating the type of term/basis we want to incorporate (model frame). The recommended R package **mgcv** (Wood 2006) has a pretty set up, e.g.

```
\label{eq:response} \begin{split} &\operatorname{response} \sim \mathtt{x1} \ + \ \mathtt{x2} \ + \ \mathtt{s(z1)} \ + \ \mathtt{s(z2, \ z3)} \\ &\operatorname{response} \sim \mathtt{x1} \ + \ \mathtt{x2} \ + \ \mathtt{s(z1, \ bs} \ = \ \texttt{"ps")}. \end{split}
```

#### R package bamiss Symbolic descriptions

In the context of distributional regression we need formula extensions for multiple parameters. One convenient way to specify, e.g., the parameters of a normal model is:

```
list(
response \sim x1 + x2 + s(z1) + s(z2),
sigma \sim x1 + x2 + s(z1))
```

A four parameter example:

```
list(
response \sim x1 + x2 + s(z1) + s(z2),
sigma2 \sim x1 + x2 + s(z1),
nu \sim s(z1),
tau \sim s(z2))
```

#### R package bamiss Symbolic descriptions

Hierarchical structures:

```
list(

response \sim x1 + x2 + s(z1) + s(id1),

id1 \sim x3 + s(z3) + s(id2),

id2 \sim s(z4),

sigma2 \sim x1 + x2 + s(z1),

nu \sim s(z1) + s(id1),

tau \sim s(z2)
```

Categorical responses:

```
list(
    response \sim x1 + x2 + s(z1) + s(z2),
    \sim x1 + x2 + s(z1) + s(z3)
)
```

Parsing the necessary model frame is based on **mgcv** infrastructures. In addition, the parser allows to define special user defined terms.

```
bamlss.frame(formula, data = NULL, family = "gaussian",
weights = NULL, subset = NULL, offset = NULL,
na.action = na.omit, contrasts = NULL, ...)
```

Creates the model frame, i.e., all necessary matrices to set up a model.

```
R> f <- list(accel ~ s(times), sigma ~ s(times))
R> bf <- bamlss.frame(f, data = mcycle, family = "gaussian")</pre>
```

#### R> print(bf)

'bamlss.frame' structure: ..\$ call ..\$ model.frame ..\$ formula ..\$ family ..\$ terms ..\$ x ....\$ mu .. .. ..\$ formula .....\$ fake.formula .. .. ..\$ terms ....\$ model.matrix ....\$ smooth.construct .. ..\$ sigma .. .. ..\$ formula .....\$ fake.formula ....\$ terms ....\$ model.matrix .....\$ smooth.construct ..\$ y .. ..\$ accel

Workflow example

#### JAGS

```
R> bf$samples <- with(bf, JAGS(x, y, family))
R> summary.bamlss(bf)
R> plot.bamlss(bf)
```

#### BayesX

```
R> f <- list(
+ accel ~ sx(times),
+ sigma ~ sx(times)
+ )
R> bf <- bamlss.frame(f, data = mcycle, family = "gaussian")
R> bf$samples <- with(bf, BayesX(x, y, family))
R> summary.bamlss(bf)
R> plot.bamlss(bf)
```

(Note: currently not working.)

#### R package bamiss Available building blocks

| Туре        | Function   |  |
|-------------|--|--|
| Parser      | <pre>bamlss.frame()</pre>                                |  |
| Transformer | <pre>bamlss.engine.setup(), randomize()</pre>            |  |
| Optimizer   | <pre>bfit(), opt(), cox.mode()</pre>                     |  |
| Sampler     | <pre>GMCMC(), JAGS(), STAN(), BayesX(), cox.mcmc()</pre> |  |
| Results     | results.bamlss.default()                                 |  |

If new engines are implemented, one only needs to exchange the building block functions.

Available families

Work in progress ... (+ note that not all families are available for all implemented engines yet)

| BCCG      | cens        | cloglog     | COX            |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| beta      | dagum       | lognormal   | quant          |
| betazi    | dirichlet   | multinomial | t              |
| betazi    | gamma       | mvn         | truncgaussian  |
| betazoi   | gaussian    | mvt         | truncgaussian2 |
| binomial  | gaussian2   | negbin      | weibull        |
| bivlogit  | gengamma    | pareto      | zinb           |
| bivprobit | invgaussian | poisson     | zip            |

Families with ending 2 represent alternative parametrizations.

Family constructor

```
Basic setup of bamlss families, e.g., for N(\mu, \sigma^2):
```

```
list(
   family, names, links,
   d(y, par),
   p(y, par),
   q(y, par),
   r(y, par),
   score = list(
      mu(y, par),
      sigma(y, par)
   ),
   hess = list(
      mu(y, par),
      sigma(y, par)
)
```

Extendable, e.g., specify the engines to be used, too.

#### R package bamiss Wrapper function

To ease the workflow, a wrapper function for the available engines is provided:

bamlss(formula, family = "gaussian", data = NULL, start = NULL, transform = NULL, optimizer = NULL, sampler = NULL, results = NULL, cores = NULL, combine = TRUE, ...)

Standard extractor and plotting functions are provided:

summary(), plot(), fitted(), residuals(), predict(), coef(), logLik(), DIC(), samples(), ...

#### Cox-regression for fire emergengy response times

The *London Fire Brigade* is one of the largest in the world. Collects huge amounts of data, e.g., incidents records from dwelling fire:

http://data.london.gov.uk/dataset/ london-fire-brigade-incident-records

Reponse times of emergency calls, how can these be improved?

#### Example taken from:

Taylor BM, Rowlingson B (2015). **spatsurv**: An R Package for Bayesian Inference with Spatial Survival Models. (to appear) URL: http://www.lancaster.ac.uk/staff/taylorb1/preprints/spatsurv.pdf

Data set of the first two quarters of 2015 emergency calls from dwelling fire, available in **bamiss**:

```
R> data("LondonFire", package = "bamlss")
R> nrow(LondonFire)
```

[1] 5838

Consists of the "SpatialPointsDataFrame" named LondonFire and the actual 2015 fire station locations LondonFStations, as well as the "SpatialPolygons" LondonBoroughs and LondonBoundaries.

```
R> plot(LondonFire, col = "red")
R> plot(LondonFStations, col = "blue", add = TRUE)
R> plot(LondonBoroughs, add = TRUE)
```



Arrivaltime [min]

#### Cox-model

We are interested in the drivers of the time it takes until the first fire engine arrives after the emergengy call.

The hazard of an event (fire engine arrives) at time *t* can be described with a relative additive risk model of the form:

$$\lambda(t) = \exp(\eta(t)) = \exp(\eta_{\lambda}(t) + \eta_{\gamma}),$$

i.e., a model for the instantaneous arrival rate conditional on the engine having not arrived before time *t*.

The probability that the engine will arrive on the scene after time t is

$$S(t) = Prob(T > t) = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \lambda(u) du\right).$$

For NR and MCMC we need the log-likelihood of the continuous time Cox-model

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{eta}; \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \delta_i \eta_{i,\gamma} - \int_0^{t_i} \exp(\eta_{i,\lambda}(u) du) \right)$$

Assuming a basis function approach, the score vector for the time-dependent part is

$$\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\lambda}) = \boldsymbol{\delta}^{\top} \mathbf{X}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{t}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp(\eta_{i,\gamma}) \left( \int_{0}^{t_{i}} \exp(\eta_{i,\lambda}(u)) \mathbf{x}_{i}(u) du \right)$$

The elements of the Hessian w.r.t.  $\beta_{\lambda}$  are

$$\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\lambda}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp\left(\eta_{i,\gamma}\right) \int_{0}^{t_{i}} \exp(\eta_{i,\lambda}(u)) \mathbf{x}_{i,\lambda}(u) \mathbf{x}_{i,\lambda}^{\top}(u) du.$$

The integrals need to be computed numerically, e.g., using the trapezoidal rule we "only" need to set up a time grid, lets say with 100 equidistant points within  $[0, t_i]$ 

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{g}_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{g}_n^\top \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{g}_i = (0, \dots, t_i)^\top,$$

to construct the evaluated  $\lambda(t)$  matrix with

$$\hat{\eta}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{G}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{J_{\lambda}} f_j(x_{1j}(g_{10})) & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^{J_{\lambda}} f_j(x_{1j}(g_{1t_i})) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^{J_{\lambda}} f_j(x_{nj}(g_{n0})) & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^{J_{\lambda}} f_j(x_{nj}(g_{nt_i})) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Fortunately, the time-constant part is a bit easier. Results in IWLS backfitting/proposal scheme with

$$\mathbf{z} = \boldsymbol{\eta}_\gamma + \mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{u}$$

with diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathit{diag}(\mathsf{exp}(oldsymbol{\eta}_\gamma) \cdot \mathbf{I})$$

and

$$\mathsf{u} = \delta - \exp(oldsymbol{\eta}_\gamma) \cdot \mathsf{I}.$$

Here, diagonal matrix I represents the integrals for all individuals. Optimizer and sampler implemented in function cox.mode() and cox.mcmc().

For the emergency call model, we use the following additive predictors

$$\eta_{\lambda} = f_1(\texttt{arrivaltime}) + f_2(\texttt{arrivaltime}, \texttt{lon}, \texttt{lat})$$

and

$$\begin{split} \eta_{\gamma} &= \beta_0 + f_1(\texttt{fsintens}) + f_2(\texttt{daytime}) + \\ f_3(\texttt{lon},\texttt{lat}) + f_4(\texttt{daytime},\texttt{lon},\texttt{lat}). \end{split}$$

In R we set up the model by

```
R> f <- list(
+ Surv(arrivaltime) ~ ti(arrivaltime) + ti(arrivaltime,lon,lat),
+ gamma ~ s(fsintens) + ti(daytime,bs="cc") + ti(lon,lat) +
+ ti(daytime,lon,lat,bs=c("cc","cr"),d=c(1,2))
+ )
R> firemodel <- bamlss(f, data = LondonFire, family = "cox",
+ subdivisions = 100, n.iter = 12000, burnin = 2000,
+ thin = 10, cores = 8, maxit = 1000)</pre>
```

```
R> summary(firemodel)
Call:
bamlss(formula = f, family = "cox", data = LondonFire, cores = 7,
    subdivisions = 100, nu = 0.01, n.iter = 4000, burnin = 2000,
    thin = 10. maxit = 3000)
Family: cox
Link function: lambda = log, gamma = identity
*---
Formula lambda:
Surv(arrivaltime) ~ ti(arrivaltime) + ti(arrivaltime, lon, lat)
_
Smooth terms:
                                  Mean 2.5% 50% 97.5%
ti(arrivaltime).tau21
                             2.904e-01 7.555e-02 2.414e-01 7.795e-01
ti(arrivaltime).edf
                             1.097e+01 8.684e+00 1.088e+01 1.360e+01
ti(arrivaltime).alpha
                             3.722e-01 4.032e-05 2.124e-01 1.000e+00
ti(arrivaltime,lon,lat).tau21 3.143e-07 2.810e-07 3.134e-07 3.500e-07
ti(arrivaltime,lon,lat).edf
                             3.500e+01 3.500e+01 3.500e+01 3.500e+01
ti(arrivaltime,lon,lat).alpha 1.134e-01 5.330e-33 4.015e-03 1.000e+00
```

```
parameters
ti(arrivaltime).tau21
                                 0.132
ti(arrivaltime).edf
                                 9,926
ti(arrivaltime).alpha
                                    NA
ti(arrivaltime,lon,lat).tau21 5.350
ti(arrivaltime,lon,lat).edf 57.097
ti(arrivaltime,lon,lat).alpha
                                    NA
Formula gamma:
gamma ~ s(fsintens) + ti(daytime, bs = "cc") + ti(lon, lat) +
 ti(daytime, lon, lat, bs = c("cc", "cr"), d = c(1, 2))
_
Parametric coefficients:
              Mean 2.5% 50% 97.5% parameters
(Intercept) -0.9425 -0.9768 -0.9427 -0.9088 -0.926
```

Smooth terms:

```
... not shown ...
---
Sampler summary:
-
DIC = 13365.95 logLik = -6628.066 logPost = 3307.896
pd = 109.8167
---
Optimizer summary:
-
edf = 170.6112 logLik = -6533.596 logPost = -8017.541
```

#### R> plot(firemodel, which = "samples")



Trace of lambda.s.ti(arrivaltime).b1



N = 1407 Bandwidth = 0.02923















```
R> plot(firemodel, model = "lambda", term = "s(arrivaltime)")
R> predict(firemodel, newdata = nd,
+ model = "lambda", term = "s(lon,lat,arrivaltime)")
```





### Thank you!!!

Klein N, Kneib T, Klasen S, Lang S (2014). *Bayesian Structured Additive Distributional Regression for Multivariate Responses.* Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics), pp. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/rssc.12090

Lang S, Umlauf N, Wechselberger P, Harttgen K, Kneib T (2013): Multilevel structured additive regression. *Statistics and Computing*, 24(2), 223–238.

Umlauf N, Adler D, Kneib T, Lang S, Zeileis A (2015). *Structured additive regression models: An R interface to BayesX*. Journal of Statistical Software, 63(21):1–46, 2015. URL http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=R2BayesX

Rigby RA, Stasinopoulos DM (2005). *Generalized Additive Models for Location, Scale and Shape (with Discussion).* Applied Statistics 54, 507–554.

Wood SN (2006). *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R.* Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton.

Wood SN (2011). *mgcv:* GAMs with GCV/AIC/REML Smoothness Estimation and GAMMs by PQL. R package version 1.7-6. URL http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mgcv